Horror

A book about texts that scare you

© 2017 Johanne Riktrup Hansen, Rasmus Drisdal Hansen og Systime a/s

Kopiering og anden gengivelse af dette værk eller dele deraf er kun tilladt efter reglerne i gældende lov om ophavsret eller inden for rammerne af en aftale med Copydan. Al anden udnyttelse forudsætter en skriftlig aftale med forlaget.

Redaktion: Tony Søndergaard Andersen og Morten Petersen Grafisk tilrettelæggelse og produktion: Systime a/s Omslagsillustration: ©iStockphoto.com/Don Bayley/Systime a/s

1. udgave 1. oplag ISBN 978-87-616-8456-1

systime >

Sonnesgade 11 DK-8000 Aarhus C Tlf.: 70 12 11 00 systime.dk



Indholdsfortegnelse

Introduction	
Horror: A mirror of the human psyche	
Reading, writing and watching with Freud – Freudian psychology and horror fiction	-
How and why horror stories work	14
Stephen King: Why We Crave Horror Movies *	14
Stephen King: The Boogeyman **	20
Horror, sex and repression – the fear of what may lie beneath the surface	40
C. J. Clover: Her body, himself ***	42
B. Stoker: Dracula **	40
T. Harris: The Silence of the Lambs **	49
The Cure: Lullaby *	74
Working with films: The Silence of The Lambs	70
Horror: A mirror of society	
How traditional man was scared	
The fear of chaos, corruption and disorder – ghosts, death and madness in pre-mo	02 2dorn
literature	JUE!!! 0~
William Shakespeare: Hamlet Act 1 scene 4 ***	0/
Early Horror fiction – The Gothic Romance	09
How modern man was scared	06
The threat of change – horror in the 1950s and early 1960s	90
How postmodern man is scared	102
Hillbillies	104
Zombies	105
Vampires	106
Demons	108
E.A. Poe: The Raven (1845) **	110
J.S. LeFanu: The Village Bully (1851) **	110
B. Stoker: Dracula (1897) **	127
H.P. Lovecraft: The Rats in the Walls ***	141
R.C. Matheson: Making Cabinets *	150
Working with films: It Follows, American Horror Story	162
Horror: A mirror of human nature	46-
M. Clasen: The Horror! The Horror! **	160
P. Benchley: Jaws *	4 D a
B Stoker: Dracula **	100
C. Palanniuk: Guts *	
M. Brooks: World War Z **	
working with films: The Walking Dead	220
Analysing Films	270
rps for writing	220
Billedliste	

caused by less obvious signs of difference or otherness should not be understood as incidental reminders of something psychologically repressed. Instead, they can best be explained as a biological defense mechanism that will keep us from interacting and ultimately mating with an organism which will leave our genes with slim chances of being successfully passed on. Our fear of heights and our fears of being trapped are, of course, also fears that make sense seen from a biological and evolutionary point of view.

Seeing horror fiction through the lens of evolutionary psychology is not the same as reducing the analyses of the texts to a search for anything that resembles a biological threat while disregarding everything else. Horror literature and horror movies have definitely changed and storylines, symbols and characters, no doubt, reflect the cultural contexts in which they are written. But to the reader who is interested in understanding the rushes, the shocks and the emotional responses which define this specific genre, questions of context, culture and symbols must remain secondary. This reader will claim that all horror literature shares a stable and unchanging core and that it is the ability to appeal to our basic biological instincts which makes the horror genre work.



A mirror of human nature – Comprehension check – Watch and discuss

Watch the first 4:50 of the tv series *American Horror Story*, Pilote episode "Murder House". (Netflix or youtube.com)

Taking your starting points in the thoughts above, explain how the scenes play on our innate and instinctive fears? Do you believe this way of explaining the effect of horror fiction can successfully explain all the feelings you had when watching the scenes?

M. Clasen: The Horror! The Horror! **



The Horror! The Horror! – Leading in

Discussion:

The following text is an article written for a scientific journal. What do you think the writer of such an article wants to achieve with the text?

How might the purpose of the article influence the language?

In couples:

- Prepare a short written speech in which you convince your listeners about the truth of one or two the following statements:
 - The moon-landing in 1969 was not staged.
 - The pyramids in Egypt were not built by aliens.
 - The US government did not plan and order the attacks on September 11th,
 2001.
 - The position of the stars and the planets did not decide whether you won or lost the last time you played the lottery.
 - Spelling your name differently will not make you more likely to succeed in your future endeavors.
- 2. Team up with another couple and present your speeches to each other both orally and in writing.
- Identify the arguments which are most convincing in the other couple's speech.
 What characterizes the convincing arguments and the convincing language.

The three modes of persuasion

In *The Art of Rhetoric* Aristotle identified and described three modes of persuasion that appeal to;

 Character, Ethos: A speaker uses ethos when persuading through his/her own character. The intent is to appear credible. This can be done through profession (a professor of economics has ethos when he/she talks about economics but not if he/she talks about fashion) or through choice of words used in order to convince the listener

- Emotion, Pathos: A speaker uses pathos when he/she tries to persuade the
 audience by referring to emotions. A speaker can appeal to different kinds of
 emotions such as sympathy, hate, caring emotions, disgust. These emotions
 can be expressed through the use of words, the way the speaker uses his/
 her voice and non-verbal expressions such as using hand gestures and facial
 expressions.
- Logic or reason, Logos: A speaker uses logos when he/she tries to persuade through something rational and logical such as statistics, numbers and facts.
- 4. Which mode of persuasion did you use most in your speech? Think of a question where you would give a different mode of persuasion a higher priority.



The Horror! – Language and grammar

The following extract is taken from the text:

That the spider's status as a repulsive (or at the very least, fascinating) animal is a consequence of evolution – spiders, by being dangerous, exerted a selective pressure for spider-detection and avoidance mechanisms in our ancestors (Rakison & Derringer) – is far more plausible than the notion that spiders become objects of cultural elaboration and repugnance because they symbolize the vagina, the penis, the oral-sadistic mother, or "the hairy hands of masturbation (Carroll, "Nightmare" 22, 24-25).

Noël Carroll: Nightmare and the Horror Film. Film Quarterly 34.3, 1981. In: Mathias Clasen: The Horror, The Horror. In: The Evolutionary Review, Vol. 1, Nr. 1, 2010. pp. 112-119.

- Characterize the language. Pay special attention to the length and complexity of words and sentences, the character of the vocabulary etc.
- 2. Which effect does the language have on you as a reader.
- 3. What is the purpose of the brackets?
- 4. How does your impression of the text change if you take them away?
- Return to your written speech where would you like to insert brackets or footnotes to achieve the same effect?

repulsive (adj) frastødende exert (vb) udøve

selective (adj) selektiv – her: tanken om den biologiske selektion, som medfører fremme af visse gener og egenskaber i forskellige arter som er en del af evolutionsteorien.

Unease is a genuinely perceptive, accurate response to the underlying structures of the universe. I don't think we're safe, I don't think the world cares about us ...

Mathias Clasen: A Long Interview with Peter Straub. Cemetery Dance 61, 2009. After: Mathias Clasen: The Horror, The Horror. In: The Evolutionary Review, Vol. 1, Nr. 1, 2010. pp. 112-119.

The Horror! The Horror!

- You're trapped. Some monstrous and malevolent force, weird, alien, and hideous, is about to take your life, devour your flesh, and consume your soul. Are you having fun? Probably not, and yet, vast hordes of people read books and go to movies designed specifically to create simulations of such experiences. Why? Most theorists of film and literature have tried to answer this question by appealing to Freudian psychoanalysis, cultural contexts, or both. They have on the whole invoked obsolete models of the human mind and neglected evolutionary findings on human nature. We can do better.
- Academic horror scholarship has roughly been divided into two groups: the Freudian approach, which takes psychoanalysis as an organizing paradigm, and historicist approaches, which are based on culturally constructivist interpretations. However, within the past decade or so, many fields (for example psychology, anthropology, religion, and literary study) have benefited from taking the adaptationist view that the human mind evolved and that it is an adaptive organ (Barkow, Cosmides & Tooby; Pinker). Horror scholarship too can take advantage of the enormous advances in knowledge about human nature.
- It's true that individual works of horror should be seen in their historical, cultural context. A work of horror always invokes locally and historically contingent anxieties. Nonetheless, horror varies within a very narrow range because there are only so many

detection (sb) opdagelse
avoidance (sb) undvigelse
plausible (adi) plausibel/rimelig/sandsynlig
elaboration (sb) overvejelse...
repugnance (sb) væmmelse/modvilje
unease (sb) utryghed/ubehag
malevolent (adj) ond
devour (vb) fortzer/spise
invoke (vb) henvise (til)/nedkalde
neglect (vb) ignorere/se bort fra
paradigm (sb) paradigme/grundlæggende videnskabelig opfattelse
constructivist (adj) konstruktivistiske/konstruktivisme
adaptive (adj) tilpasningsdygtig
invoke (vb) henvise (til)/nedkalde
contingent (adj) her: relaterbare

ways to effectively scare the human animal. The genre displays a certain uniformity, one which is easily and frequently overlooked by critics and commentators who are intent on unveiling the cultural or subconscious fears and anxieties which have metamorphosed into monsters. A purely constructivist account of horror fiction cannot explain why horror fiction generally travels well in space — why western teenagers are scared witless by Japanese and Korean horror movies, for instance. And a Freudian approach, based on false ideas about human nature, can explain little, if anything at all

What Is Horror?

- Horror fiction is the kind of fiction that is designed to scare or disturb its audience, and the label covers two sub-categories: supernatural horror fiction, which uses supernatural props such as ghosts, curses and non-natural monsters and takes place in narrative universes which are to some degree counter-empirical; and psychological horror fiction, which is largely mimetic (if often melodramatic or romantic). Sometimes the categories are indistinct: the work is characterized by an ontological ambiguity, wherein the reader (and often the characters) wavers between a naturalistic and a supernatural explanation, e.g., Henry James's The Turn of the Screw.
- Even as horror is not defined according to content but rather affectively (in terms of intended audience reaction), a limited stock of situations and characters makes up most horror stories. As the historian David J. Skal has observed, "very little about the underlying structure of horror images really changes, though our cultural uses for them are ... shape-changing" (23). How can we account for the fact that a genre which is supposed to be, in the words of the critic Douglas E. Winter, "a progressive form of fiction, one that evolves to meet the fears and anxieties of its times" is so obsessed with a few themes and figures? Presumably, it is because certain things (e.g. darkness, death, malevolent ghosts, humanoid predators) are scarier than others. But why? Why is darkness scary? And why are monsters, vampires, ghouls, and ghosts?

display (vb) udvise
uniformity (sb) ensartethed
metamorphose (vb) forandre
counter-empirical (adj) kontraempirisk/i modstrid med læserens erfaringer med virkeligheden
mimetic (adj) imiterende/virkelighedsefterlignende
ontological (adj) ontologisk/faktisk eksisterende
ambiguity (sb) flertydighed
waver (vb) svinge
affectively (adv) her: følelsesbaseret
presumably (adv) antagelsesvis

The Origins of Horror

- One might expect at least *supernatural* horror fiction to gradually disappear concurrently with enlightenment and education. That does not seem to be the case, however, as horror remains one of the most profitable and popular film genres (Gomery 49).
- To understand the nature of horror, it is essential to recognize that modern horror fiction is evolved from earlier, recognizably similar kinds of stories. The writer H. P. Lovecraft found the roots of the modern horror story in "the earliest folklore" of all races" and charted its development from folktales via the Gothic novel to the modern tale of terror. Horror is not, exactly, a social or cultural construction, but rather a predictable product of an evolved human nature. Horror is what happens when Homo sapiens meets the world; it is a "natural" genre, not the chance" product of an unusual mind or a specific set of cultural circumstances. As Lovecraft asserted, "the horror-tale is as old as human thought and speech themselves." This, he explained, is "naturally [to be] expected of a form so closely connected with primal" emotion" (17).

Orthodox Approaches to Horror Fiction: Constructivism ...

- Many historians and critics of horror fiction implicitly or explicitly place the birth of the genre on Christmas Day in 1764, with the publication of Horace Walpole's *The Castle of Otranto*, the first "Gothic novel." The genesis of the horror story is thus often seen as a symptomatic byproduct of the Enlightenment. In this widely popular historicist approach, Gothic fiction seen as the precursor of modern horror fiction is the white underbelly of the Enlightenment, a subversive venue of expression for all things repressed in the eighteenth century and henceforth.
- olf horror were a purely cultural construction, an entirely fortuitous invention, it would follow that cultures without horror stories could (and more likely than not, do) exist, and that one culture's horror stories would work only inside that culture or similar ones. Neither seems to be the case. Most historicist accounts of the horror genre as a whole and of specific works of horror contain some truth, but they are inadequate.

concurrently (adv) sideløbende folklore (sb) folklore/sagn chart (vb) kortlæggefspore chance (adj) tilfældig sasert (vb) nævde primal (adj) urgenesis (sb) fødsel precursor (sb) forløber white underbælly her: karnoufleret sårbart område subversive (adj) undergravende venue (sb) skueplads/sted henceforth (adv) fremefter fortuitous (adj) tilfældig inadequate (adj) utilstrækklig

... And Psychoanalysis

- The Freudian approach to horror fiction, on the other hand, appears to me not only inadequate but false, simply because orthodox psychoanalytical theory has not been borne out by scientific investigation. In 1996, Edward Erwin noted that approximately 1,500 Freudian experiments had been conducted over six decades, yet "the amount of confirmation of distinctly Freudian hypotheses is close to zero" (294).
- The classical *locus* for Freudian horror scholars is Freud's essay "The Uncanny" from 1919. In his discussion of "the uncanny," a category which encompasses horror stories, Freud claimed that the uncanny experience "arises" either when repressed child-hood complexes are revived by some impression, or when primitive beliefs that have been *surmounted* appear to be once again confirmed" (155). Thus, the basic Freudian approach to horror stories is to uncover the repressed elements, the infantile complexes or cultural repressions, which are disguised as for example supernatural monsters (e.g. Wood). In this analysis, horror stories are not really about monsters and ghosts at all; those are mere symbols or symptoms to be penetrated in order to deal with repressed materials.
- Freudian horror study claims a mostly unwarranted crypto-sexual, perverse dimension to the genre. For example, Elaine Showalter reads Stevenson's *Dr Jekyll and Mr Hyde* as a "fable of fin-de-siècle" homosexual panic, the discovery and resistance of the homosexual self" (107). This, surely, is a historicist-psychosexual reading gone utterly berserk. To anyone but a high-strung Freudian critic, would the fact that Hyde travels in "chocolate-brown fog" "be suggestive of anality and anal intercourse" (113)?
- Freudian approaches, like the historicist ones, look behind the literal level of horror fictions to find the power and significance of the work. Both approaches are involved in a process of discovery, of locating some meaning which, at first sight, is hidden to the uninitiated beholder; both require some extraneous knowledge (psychoanalysis is more esoteric, requiring an altogether more arcane, body of knowledge). However,

borne out (vb) bekræftet/understøttet locus (sb) udgangspunkt/omdrejningspunkt encompass (vb) indbefatter arise (vb) opstå surmount (vb) overvinde penetrate (vb) gennemtrænge unwarranted (adj) ubegrundet/uberettiget crypto- (prefix) skjult/hemmelia fin de siècle (adj) fra slutningen af århundredet fra fransk og refererende til slutningen af 1800-tallet utterly (adv) helt og aldeles high-strung (adj) hysterisk be suggestive of lede tankerne hen på uninitiated (adj) uindviede/uden forudgående kendskab beholder (sb) betragter extraneous (adj) udefrakommende esoteric (adj) indforstået arcane (adj) mystisk/uforståelig

whatever else is going on in a given work of horror, horror stories should *also* be taken at face value since readers and viewers also and foremost experience scary stories on the literal level. To reduce a work of horror to cultural undercurrents or a particular *Zeitgeist*, or to repressed fantasies and complexes, is to miss a very important part of the picture.

Evolutionary Studies of Horror Fiction

- Surprisingly, the evolutionary approach to horror fiction is largely unexplored territory, but a few attempts at explaining the horror genre in an evolutionary framework have been advanced [2]
- Perhaps the earliest attempt to view horror from an evolutionary perspective comes from a master of the genre, H. P. Lovecraft. In his long essay Supernatural Horror in Literature (largely composed from 1925-1927), Lovecraft invoked man's biological inheritance and asserted that "the oldest and strongest emotion of mankind is fear" (12). Consequently, he claimed that horror "has always existed, and always will exist" (15) This account clashes spectacularly with the purely historicist conception of scary stories, according to which, presumably, horror could vanish at any moment. As Kendrick wrote in 1991, horror fiction "seems about to emit its last gasp" (xxv). That has not happened, and I don't think it is likely to happen ever. Certainly, the genre waxes and wanes like any other cultural phenomenon, but a particular aspect of human nature will always be receptive to a wholesome (or unwholesome) scare.

Fear and Anxiety

Fear is a human universal, one of the basic emotions, universally recognizable in facial expression; and it has a distinct physiological signature. All this displays the hand of natural selection. As Arne Öhman puts it, "responses of fear and anxiety originate in an alarm system shaped by evolution to protect creatures from impending danger. This system is biased to discover threat, and it results in a sympathetically dominated response as a support of potential flight or fight" (587). The bias to discover threat means we tend to over-react, perceiving threats where none exist (Marks & Nesse

undercurrents (sb) strømninger
zeitgeist (sb) tidsånd
advanced (vb) her: fremsat
inheritance (sb) arv
conception (sb) opfattelse
wax and wane (vb) blive større og mindre/gå op og ned i popularitet
wholesome (adj) sund
distinct (adj) klar/tydelig
impending (adj) forestående
sympathetically dominated response rygmarvsreaktion
perceive (vb) opfatte

254). If we jump at a shadow and run away, we might expend unnecessary energy. If we don't jump and run, we might get eaten.

- Fear has a range of characteristic physical symptoms (250-1), and it stands to reason that the reaction elicited by an effective horror story is qualitatively similar to that elicited by a real threat from e.g. a predator. Cinematic horror is probably more efficient in causing outright fear and startle responses, whereas literary horror is usually more dependent on less violent—if no less powerful—emotions such as dread and anxiety.
- 18 "Fears and phobias fall into a short and universal list," as Steven Pinker notes. These include snakes, spiders, "heights, storms, large carnivores, darkness, blood, strangers, confinement, deep water, social scrutiny, and leaving home alone." The "common thread is obvious. These are the situations that put our evolutionary ancestors in danger" (386). And what's more, many of the items on Pinker's list pose no threat to urban dwellers. The lifetime odds of dying from "contact with venomous snakes and lizards" in the US are 1 in 544,449, whereas the lifetime odds of dying from a transport accident are 1 in 79 ("Odds of Dying"). And yet car-phobia is virtually nonexistent. Matt Ridley is surely right that it "defies common sense not to see the handiwork of evolution here: the human brain is pre-wired to learn fears that were of relevance in the Stone Age" (194). That the spider's status as a repulsive (or at the very least, fascinating) animal is a consequence of evolution - spiders, by being dangerous, exerted a selective pressure for spider-detection and avoidance mechanisms in our ancestors (Rakison & Derringer) - is far more plausible than the notion that spiders become objects of cultural elaboration and repugnance because they symbolize the vagina, the penis, the oral-sadistic mother, or "the hairy hands of masturbation" (Carroll, "Nightmare" 22, 24-25).

Monsters

To get at the reality of horror, we have to embrace the monster. Some monster or monstrous entity dominates virtually all horror fiction. That's true even when the ontological status of the monster is ambiguous, as it is for example is Shirley Jackson's The Haunting of Hill House, when it is not witnessed at all, as in The Blair Witch Project, or when some sort of supernatural, homicidal agency is just intimated, as in the Final Destination series. The monster is of course threatening but also "impure" (Carroll, Philosophy 42-3). It is very often disgusting as well as frightening. Long before Pasteur discovered the germ theory of disease, our instinctive repulsion for feces and decomposing corpses protected us from infectious diseases (Curtis et al. 131).

elicit (vb) fremkalde/forārsage pre-wired (adj) sat op/konstrueret (til) entity (sb) enheder/væsener ambiguous (adj) flertydig intimate (vb) antyde

Hybrid Horrors

- Evolutionists will hardly be surprised at the claim that our horror stories are populated by ancestral dangers. They might still wonder though why so many horror monsters are "interstitial" or hybridized (Carroll, *Philosophy* 32) The best answer seems to be that provided by the evolutionary study of religion the idea that belief in the supernatural is a natural by-product of the adapted mind (Boyer; Atran & Norenzayan; Barrett). Religion is a kind of parasite that exploits our evolved cognitive architecture. Entities that combine features from two or more natural categories are likely to command attention, be vividly remembered, and be extensively transmitted. Atran and Norenzayan use the term "taxonomic anomalies" (715). Such anomalies combine features from categories such as human/animal (e.g. werewolves, zombies) and alive/
- Many scholars have noted this characteristic feature but have usually explained it by invoking Mary Douglas' theory of disgust and taboo (e.g., Carroll, *Philosophy*; Gilmore). Douglas argues that entities violating established cultural categories can be threatening. We now have a plausible explanation for why that is. Supernatural horror stories can affect even hard-headed skeptics because supernatural agents have a peculiar resonance with the human mind despite the apparent lack of predation pressures from vampires and ghouls in ancestral environments. Peter Straub, who has penned many best-selling supernatural horror stories, explains, "I probably don't believe in anything supernatural ... but my *imagination* really believes in it" (in Clasen).

ogre (sb) kæmpe fangs (sb) hugtænder predation (sb) rovdyrsadfærd hybridism (sb) blandingsegenskab brim (vb) flyde over med interstitial (adj) her: 'forskudte'/forandrede entity (sb) enheder/væsener ²³ Alpha predators are scary in their own right, but spiders and snakes, so remote from the human morph, offer special opportunities to the artist of horror. Stephen King's It contains a spider which is "perhaps fifteen feet high" (1029). Consider the Kali-monster in Dan Simmons' Song of Kali, which "crouched on six limbs like some huge and hairless spider," and whose impossibly long tongue slides out "like a questing serpent" (202). And in Blatty's The Exorcist, possessed Regan descends a staircase: "Gliding spiderlike, rapidly, close behind [her mother], her body arched backward in a bow with her head almost touching her feet ... her tongue flicking quickly in and out of her mouth while she hissed sibilantly like a serpent" (135). Likewise, the Lovecraftian cosmic terrors in Stephen King's "N." have "flattened snakehead[s]" (196) and "snake-eyes" (201; and see Cooke).

Why Horror?

- Like most other mammalian infants, human children love to play and explore the limits of their abilities, and it seems that play and exploration behavior is adaptive in that functions as *training* (Špinka, Newberry & Bekoff). Likewise, seeking out horrible stories may be a way of "pushing the outside of the envelope," in Tom Wolfe's term. Steven Pinker notes that pushing the envelope "is a powerful motive. Recreation", and the emotion called 'exhilaration", come from enduring relatively safe events that look and feel like ancestral dangers" (389). And horror stories do seem to be related to thrilling childhood games. As any parent knows, toddlers love games that are just a little bit scary (hide-and-seek, peek-a-boo, etc.), and the typical playground is basically an assortment of low-grade thrill-rides where children can test and push their own limits. Conceivably, horror fiction is an emotional jungle gym, the mental version of extreme sports.
- Not everybody enjoys horror fiction, however, and a preference for seeking out scary entertainment appears to be greater in adolescence, the period where especially boys are prone to risk-taking "show-off" behavior (cf. Kruger & Nesse). That, at least, would go some way toward explaining social horror rituals like cinema screenings. Moreover, personality differences are likely to play a significant role (Zuckerman).
- Everybody likes a well-told story, though, and it is important to remember that horror fiction is just that: stories. A horror story readily accommodates any number of non-horrific sub-plots and characters, and just like some people like to spice up their carne with chili, some people like their fictions hot. It would appear that our love of safe

thrills is a natural instinct, a way to practice for the exigencies of existence, or a way to broaden and deepen our emotional lives.

Mathias Clasen is a PhD student at the Department of English, University of Aarhus, and affiliated research scholar at Interdisciplinary Evolutionary Studies, University of Aarhus (IES@AU).

References

Atran, Scott and Ara Norenzayan. "Religion's Evolutionary Landscape: Counterintuition, Commitment, Compassion, Communion." Behavioral and Brain Sciences 27.6 (2004): 713–770.

Barkow, Jerome H., Leda Cosmides, and John Tooby, eds. The Adapted Mind. New York: Oxford UP, 1992.

Barrett, Justin L. Why Would Anyone Believe in God? Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press, 2004.

Blatty, William Peter. The Exorcist. New York: Harper Paperbacks, 1994.

Boyer, Pascal. Religion Explained. London: Vintage, 2002.

Carroll, Noël. "Nightmare and the Horror Film." Film Quarterly 34.3 (1981): 16-25.

Carroll, Noël. The Philosophy of Horror. New York: Routledge, 1990.

Clasen, Mathias. "A Long Interview with Peter Straub." Cemetery Dance 61 (2009): forthcoming.

Cooke, Brett. "On the Evolution of Interest: Cases in Serpent Art." Evolution of the Psycho. Ed. David H.

Rosen and Michael C. Luebbert. Westport, CT: Praeger, 1999. 150-68.

Curtis, Valerie, Robert Aunger and Tamer Rabie. "Evidence that Disgust Evolved to Protect From Risk of Disease." Proceedings of the Royal Society B (Biological Sciences) 271 (suppl. 4, 2004): S131–33.

Included here are only the references to the works writers whose names begin with the letters a-c.

Mathias Clasen: The Horror, The Horror. In: The Evolutionary Review, Vol. 1, Nr. 1, 2010. pp. 112-119.

hiss (vb) hvæse sibilantly (adv) hvislende mammalian (adj) pattedyrsrecreation (sb) adspredelse exhilaration (sb) opstemthed endure (vb) udholde accommodate (vb) rumme